1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

City Staff

17

18

19

20

21

22

Communication to the City Commission

Alex Hernandez, Assistant Building Official

Jamie Opperlee, ProtoType Inc. Recording Clerk

23 | None

24

25

Witnesses and Respondents

Lori Grossfeld, Board Secretary

Leroy Jones, Building Inspector

George Oliva, Building Inspector

Shani Allman, Clerk III

Deanna Bojman, Clerk III Yvette Ketor, Clerk III

Porshia Goldwire, Administrative Aide

Rhonda Hasan, Assistant City Attorney

CE14072163: Alena Hernandez, executive assistant; Matthew Mitchell, environmental consultant

Cumulative Attendance 10/14 through 9/15

Board Members	Attendance	Present	Absent
Michael Weymouth, Chair	P	3	0
Joe Holland, Vice Chair	Р	2	1
John Barranco [Arrived 3:08]	P	2	1
Joe Crognale	P	3	0
Pat Hale	P	3	0
Don Larson	P	3	0
John Phillips [Arrived 3:06]	P	3	0
Ian Seitel	P	1	0
Thornie Jarrett	P	3	0

CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE

UNSAFE STRUCTURES BOARD THURSDAY, JANUARY 15, 2015 AT 3:00 P.M.

1<sup>ST</sup> FLOOR COMMISSION CHAMBERS CITY HALL

ı		
9		

2	
2	

Index		
Case Number	Respondent	Page
1. CE14080649	LAWRENCE, DANE & NADIN	20
	936-936 SW 16 TER(TANGELO ISLE)	
Disposition:	Withdrawn.	
		- 4
2. CE14100952	BH NEHAMA CAPITAL LLC	20
	2550 NW 19 ST	
Disposition:	The Board found the violations existed as cited and granted the owner 30 days to demolish the structure or the City	
	will demolish the structure. Board approved 9-0.	
3. CE14081499	WEES, BASIL G & ELLEN L	25
J. CE14061499	442 SW 22 TER	
Disposition:	Withdrawn.	
DISPOSICION.	WICHGIAWII.	
4. CE14072163	DOWNTOWN FORT LAUDERDALE WATERFRONT	25
	413 SW 3 AVE	
Disposition:	Motion to grant a thirty-day extension of time for the owner to conduct emergency repairs <b>failed</b> 0-9.	
	Communication to the City Commission	<u>85</u>
	Other items and announcements	86
	For the Good of the City	86

The regular meeting of the Unsafe Structures Board convened at 3:00 p.m. in the  $1^{\rm st}$  Floor Commission Chambers, City Hall, 100 North Andrews Avenue, Ft. Lauderdale, Florida.

MR. HOLLAND: Point of order.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Go ahead.

MR. HOLLAND: Yes, a couple things, I got noticed on one of these cases and I think it would be good if we do disclosures. I'm going to do them. If anybody else wants to or not want to that's their choice.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: You may be the only person that got something.

MR. HOLLAND: Yes.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I don't know. I didn't so, yes, any time, yes, I don't know if we need to do that before every case but it should be generally known that if anybody has any contact or receives any information or has any involvement with something they need to disclose it at the outset of that case.

MR. HOLLAND: We might want to visit it later at the end of the meeting, talk about having a formal line item for disclosures at the beginning of the meeting unless you want to do it now.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I'll leave that up to counsel as to whether we need to.

MR. HOLLAND: Well, it might be our choice.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Again if you -- I think it's a standing thing that we all know that if we get, if not, then yes, we certainly need to disclose it which I think I just did

but we don't need to announce it prior to every case as --1 2 MS. HASAN: No sir. 3 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Yes, just a standing order that if you, any case, obviously, I think you're saying that 4 5 you've been contacted on this case. 6 MR. HOLLAND: Well, based on certain concerns, if I 7 do, I would certainly want all my colleagues to also. 8 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: That's what I'm saying. 9 MR. HOLLAND: Because I'm saying there's been a 10 history here and it's a good thing to know. I think we as a 11 Board have the right to make our own policy and to set 12 independent of the City's policy within certain realms and 13 anything that's good for truth and honesty and disclosure I 14 think is good. So I would move that we do disclosures on a 15 regular basis before every meeting. Do I have, could I get a second on that? 16 I thought we always did. I'm confused. 17 MR. JARRETT: 18 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: That's --19 MS. HALE: I don't know --2.0 MR. HOLLAND: Never in a formal disclosure thing. 21 Some people have volunteered it, but it wasn't required. 22 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I think it is required. 23 MS. HALE: Well, yes, there's a form in my packet, 24 you should have one too that if you have a --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: No, that's a conflict --

25

MR. HOLLAND: That's a conflict of interest form; it's a different subject matter.

MS. HALE: Well, slightly, but still.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: What Joe, but I think Joe is asking is that before every case that there be an opportunity for everyone to announce that they have had some sort of contact with the applicant, not the applicant, the respondent, or they've had --

MR. HOLLAND: Agents of.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: So, I think that's what he's looking for, so there's a motion for that, is there a second?

MR. JARRETT: I'll second it; it sounds logical.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. I have a motion --

MR. HOLLAND: Just for discussion purposes, again, it's just, the Commission does it, I think that Planning and Zoning does it. We're the only judicial, truly judicial advisory board, verbatim minutes, and there's going to be issues where we're going to be lobbied and I feel that that has been successful in some cases with some of our prior Board members. So I think it would be very good to do it. I hope my colleagues agree. If not, I'm not going to lose a whole lot of sleep over it, I'll be doing it anyway.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I concur with what you're saying. I hear what you're saying. I'd like to think that everybody has disclosed any time that they've been contacted in the past. I

know I have. It's been extremely, extremely limited.

2 MR. CROGNALE: One comment.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: What's that Joe?

MR. CROGNALE: A comment on this, the motion. I was always under the impression since we were a quasi-judicial board, appointed, that that goes with the territory that we were already to note if we were had any having a conflict of interest. If there was any conflict --

MR. HOLLAND: Different subject.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: That's a different subject, yes.

That's going down a different line, that's removing. So he's just talking about somebody called you up and, I remember a case, probably six months ago that I was contacted by a respondent's attorney and disclosed such. And I think he's just trying to memorialize it and so it's a matter of whether this Board wants to take time before every case to ask the question and have anybody have the opportunity to respond. I don't think anybody's not done what we are saying; he's just asking to memorialize it before every case, so.

MR. HOLLAND: There's a -- I think formalize it is the word I might choose. And I do believe people have not disclosed and have presented testimony and advocacy thereof.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. All right. Yes, I would say any contact with the respondent or receipt of mail or whatever it may be.

MR. HOLLAND: I mean, our Counsel with the City might be able to comment it maybe, but it usually involves a desire to lobby on the behalf of the applicant for your vote and that has very strong — good to disclose that, it's in writing, we don't always get the material from staff, it's coming directly to us. I think it would be good for this Board and good for the City of Fort Lauderdale.

[Mr. Phillips arrived at 3:06]

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Please let the record reflect that Mr. Phillips has joined us. Jack, what we're discussing, briefly beforehand, is, prior, Joe Holland is asking that, and there's a motion and a second on the floor to have disclosure of contact with the respondent whether they called or sent you something or one thing or another. The discussion's been generally around the fact that I think we all know that we need to do that but Joe is asking that that disclosure be requested before each case is presented so.

MR. HOLLAND: Yes, each case.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Yes, no, it needs to be each case. So that's where we're at. We have a motion and we have a second. Do you want to weigh in on this?

MS. HASAN: Mr. Chair, Rhonda Montoya Hasan with the City Attorney's office.

Mr. Barranco arrived at 6:08.

MS. HASAN: Actually, Mr. Barranco had forwarded me,

1 and I printed copies of an e-mail that he received --2 MR. HOLLAND: He's just arrived too. 3 MS. HASAN: Oh good, there he is. 4 MR. HOLLAND: Let the record note that Mr. Barranco 5 has joined the dais at 3:07. 6 MS. HASAN: -- of an e-mail that he received from 7 someone representing one of the property owners for one of the 8 cases today. So I had just made copies for every body and I saw that Mr. Holland was also copied on it. So, I agree with 10 the Chair that people, obviously and everyone I'm going to 11 assume would disclose that kind of a thing. But if the Chair 12 wanted to, and the Board votes to formally ask for any contact 13 before each case I mean that's fine. 14 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. 15 MS. HASAN: I mean, obviously Board members should 16 have that responsibility, ethical responsibility to report 17 that, but --MR. HOLLAND: Right. Well, you used a key word, and 18 19 the word was assume, and you know how that's spelled. 20 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. All right. John --21 The question is, Jack, do you feel, MR. HOLLAND: 22 are you -- you didn't hear the arguments. 23 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Let me bring John up to speed. 24 MR. HOLLAND: Yes, well, this relates to John coming

in late and he's still on his phone.

25

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: John is coming in late too.

MR. HOLLAND: Oh, I'm sorry. I got it, but if he hasn't heard all the testimony on the motion and it sounds like you're going to have him vote on it. So I am, I just want to be clear, Jack are you comfortable with what you've heard?

MR. PHILLIPS: Sounds like a good idea.

MR. HOLLAND: That, not that that's going to bias my comments, but, anyway, go ahead and fill Mr. Barranco up to speed if you'd like to.

MR. LARSON: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Chairman?

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: One second please. John before we heard the first case, Mr. Holland brought up that he would like to have disclosure prior to every case of any contact that a Board member may have had in whatever form, with a respondent or somebody that has an item before this Board. And there is a motion by Joe and a second by I believe Thornie I'm not absolutely positive, to go ahead and do that. There's been some conversation back and forth.

The gist -- anybody is welcome to correct me -- the gist of it is that we were always under the assumption that that was our responsibility as a Board member, but he wants to formalize it before every case. So there is a motion to adopt that and there's a second, and we're having discussion about it now. Yes sir?

MR. LARSON: My question was, if you're going to do 1 2 it just at the beginning of each meeting --3 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Every --MR. LARSON: -- or at the beginning of each case? 4 5 MR. HOLLAND: Case. 6 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Every case. 7 MS. HASAN: Each case. 8 MR. LARSON: You need to clarify. Because the way 9 you put it --10 MR. HOLLAND: I, right, right. 11 MR. LARSON: -- it's going to be each case you need 12 to clarify that on your motion. Good clarification, I meant the case. 13 MR. HOLLAND: 14 I stand corrected. And another point on Mike's thing, I do 15 think prior Board members have, haven't disclosed but have 16 advocated for certain applicees, and I don't need to go into 17 It's just my feeling that that took place. And I 18 think this is good for this Board and good for the City. 19 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay, any --20 MR. HOLLAND: That shouldn't hold us up too much. 21 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Mr. Phillips. 22 MR. PHILLIPS: I've got another comment though. 23 people call us up, my reaction is, hey, I can't talk about it. 24 If you want to bring something up, bring it up in front of the 25 public in the light of everyone. I think our responsibility is

not to talk about it. But to be --2 MR. HOLLAND: But we receive hardcopy just like the 3 Commissioners and the Planning and Zoning people. It comes in 4 in all forms so it's tough to draw the line. 5 MS. HASAN: Like an e-mail. 6 MR. PHILLIPS: Well, if the hardcopy goes to the 7 City and then they're sent to us --8 MR. HOLLAND: No, these are direct. 9 MR. PHILLIPS: Direct? Okay. 10 MR. HOLLAND: Oh yes, every City lobbyist has 11 weighed in on something. 12 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: All right, I think your motion 13 would cover it. And if your election is to save it --14 MR. PHILLIPS: Well, one thing, if we get a phone call, hey, there's a case coming up next, and I said I'm not 15 16 talking about it, no offense --17 MR. HOLLAND: That's your right. 18 MR. PHILLIPS: But do we have to mention that 19 someone called to say -- because I'm just going to reject any 20 conversation --21 MR. HOLLAND: That's your choice. 22 MR. PHILLIPS: -- but the fact that someone called 23 saying, by the way, someone called me and I told him I can't 2.4 talk to him. It kind of --

That's always your choice.

25

MR. HOLLAND:

would be, I think the custom is to disclose you received a call and chose not to speak to them about the subject matter.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I just want to memorialize the fact that we've got a lawyer that's asking us laypeople on a legal question like that. If I bother to talk to him or not.

MR. HOLLAND: We're flattered.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I think, if this passes and one is asked if you want to say hey I got called by Joe Schmoe and I told him that I'm going to listen to him from the dais and you know, I don't know --

MR. PHILLIPS: Well, like the e-mails, how would there be any individual e-mails, individual members and not everybody.

MR. HOLLAND: Oh, it's happened on a case coming.

So, it's just those who were contacted, disclose. Those who weren't contacted may get it from the City, they may not. It might be presented as testimony during the hearing. But understand, this will be a lot faster than what our good Commissioners and Planning and Zoning Board members are burdened with because they get, they'll go on for five minutes about how they got lobbied. So we're not going to get that. We may hardly see any but I just think it's a good idea to disclose what we have.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: We have a motion and a second. Is there any more discussion to this? Seeing none, all in favor

say aye.

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Any opposed? All right, motion passes. All right, prior to reading in the case, is very year that would like to disclose any kind of contact with this case?

MR. HOLLAND: Another point of order. If I may, it seems that years go by. We used to have annual elections for the Board, just to reaffirm or whatever on the Board here and staff, we usually rely on them to establish an agenda along those lines and I've noticed on several boards, sometimes the City is doing it and sometimes they're not. So I don't quite understand but if I, unless I stand corrected, are we due to reaffirm our, my vice chairmanship and I might have ideas to do something different? Not seeking the Chair but, Counsel, do we have, shouldn't we be doing elections on an annual basis or is it every two years?

MS. HASAN: Candidly, I don't track that. I have absolutely no idea. I'll rely on staff, or I can look at it.

MR. HOLLAND: Staff, any idea of when we're supposed to address Chairs and Vice Chairs?

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Why don't we ask them to look into it? It looks like nobody is prepared to answer that.

MR. HOLLAND: Well, could I, I would like to hear that from them. My question was directed to them --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.

MR. HOLLAND: -- and your assumption that they're not prepared, let's get it on the record whether they're prepared or not. They're working for the City.

MS. GOLDWIRE: I'll have to check into it. I know with one of the boards we actually just did redo it but it was more so initiated by the board. So I'll have to check into it here it but I'll get back with you all at the next hearing.

MR. HOLLAND: You mean I'm stuck in Vice Chair again?

MS. GOLDWIRE: And if it's time, I'll let you all know.

MR. HOLLAND: Okay.

MR. PHILLIPS: Oh, this is the first year since we got our Board member ticket, maybe we can vote now. No, that's okay.

MR. HOLLAND: Yes, we'd all be glad to restart the clock. Okay, well, anyway. It's just, used to be that way and it's not anymore and I sometimes kind of wonder why that is. So anyway, if it's the Board's pleasure, I'll, we'll wait and see what they find out about having elections and reelecting Chairs and Vice Chairs and meantime I'll at your --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I can tell you that it's been more than a year that we had an election. I don't know if there's a critical month, date, time, what have you. If we want to

hold an election right now, I would imagine if it was the 1 2 Board's desire to do so --3 MS. HALE: No. MR. HOLLAND: Would anybody be opposed? 4 5 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I don't know what the protocol is; I don't know what the City requires. I would advocate --6 7 MR. HOLLAND: Well, I know this, in the past they've 8 sprung on us without notice; I didn't even have time to think of such a thing. 9 10 MS. HALE: Well, I'd like [inaudible] I think --11 MR. HOLLAND: -- they come in, oh, by the way, 12 you're overdue for -- excuse me -- you're overdue for electing 13 your Chair so do it quick. And you were like, whoa, whoa, we hadn't even thought about it and if you get it on an agenda in 14 15 advance we might be able to give it a little thought. So I 16 would, unless everybody would like to do it now and get it out 17 of the way --18 MS. HALE: No. 19 MR. BARRANCO: No. Mr. Chair, just, Mr. Chair? 20 MR. HOLLAND: I would say let's come back next meeting, do it next meeting and we'll have time to have them 21 22 put it on an agenda and verify we're due for new election. 23 MR. BARRANCO: Mr. Chair? 24 MS. HALE: Good suggestion. Should we meet, 25 Michael, could we begin the meeting? I see people here that

I'm not sure if we

I'm sure would like to --1 MR. BARRANCO: I was going to say the same thing. 2 3 Let's get these people out of here --MS. HALE: Yes, yes. 4 5 MR. BARRANCO: -- and then at the end of the meeting when it's open for discussion we'll talk about those things. 6 7 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Let me, I agree with both of you, let me ask the City this, maybe during the period that we are 8 9 hearing the cases maybe somebody could check with legal or 10 wherever, I don't know if it's legal or whoever, and maybe if 11 there is, if it is due and proper to have an election, we do 12 it at the end of this meeting. 13 MS. HASAN: I think, Mr. Chair I think there would 14 be two areas for me to satisfy my concerns would be with the 15 Clerk to look at everyone's term and then also to verify when 1.6 the last elections were heard and then of course what the code 17 provides in terms of electing a Chair and a Vice Chair. 18 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: All right. 19 MR. HOLLAND: There you go. The third one sounds 20 like it carries the heaviest weight. 21 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. 22 MS. HASAN: So --23 MR. HOLLAND: You might want to start with that one 24 first.

Those three areas.

25

MS. HASAN:

can do all three today but if you want to take a short recess or something, I could.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I'm just trying to expedite it.

There is some concern that it may have run overdue and so
there's a way of getting it done on January 15, otherwise we
table it until February 12. So --

MR. HOLLAND: Yes, let's --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Let's, if you want to investigate it during the period of this meeting and we address it afterwards, we can. If not, we'll look to probably address it at the February meeting. Okay?

MR. HOLLAND: Okay.

MS. HASAN: Like I said, I'd want to look in those three places. I'm not sure how quickly the Clerk can give me that information. But again, if it's the pleasure of the Board for me to do it at this meeting ,I'm happy to do my best to try to --

MR. HOLLAND: Yes. It's probably be a good idea to keep our bylaws in your folder coming into these meetings.

It's very simple stuff it's probably right on the Internet in a click. But let's get to these citizens of Fort Lauderdale and have our hearings and --

MS. HASAN: Right. But I wouldn't know --

MR. PHILLIPS: I think Joe's concern is that we technically haven't had a Chairperson, a Vice Chairperson,

1 maybe everything is [inaudible] and legal, none of our rulings are going to be effective. 3 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: And that's retroactive for quite a 4 few months. 5 MR. PHILLIPS: Nunc pro tunc. 6 MS. HASAN: Well, and technically if we started with 7 if there are any Board members who have stayed past their allotted terms then that would also be the case. 8 9 MR. PHILLIPS: Uh-oh. 10 MS. HASAN: So that's, to me, if we're going to look 11 at that holistically we probably should start it with that 12 first. 13 MR. PHILLIPS: Holistically. 14 MR. HOLLAND: Or if some term termination dates have 15 been manipulated by non-Board members. 16 MR. WEYMOUTH: Okay. 17 MR. PHILLIPS: Boy, you're rolling today Joe. 18 MS. HASAN: I don't know anything about that. 19 MR. PHILLIPS: What did you drink, did you get 20 something on the way here? 21 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: It's his New Year resolution. All 22 right let's before --23 MR. HOLLAND: Happy New Year. 24 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: So I would imagine we probably 25 should read the case into the record and then I will ask if

1	anybody has a conflict with the case that has just been read
2	into the record before we hear from the City or any other
3	respondents. Does that work for everybody?
4	MS. GOLDWIRE: That's [inaudible]
5	MR. HOLLAND: Absolutely.
6	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Is that all right with you Joe?
7	MR. HOLLAND: It sounds good.
8	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: All right.
9	MR. CROGNALE: Mr. Chair?
10	MS. GOLDWIRE: Okay, we'll begin with the swearing
11	in.
12	MR. CROGNALE: Are we going to ratify the minutes of
13	the previous meeting?
14	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.
15	
16	Approval of meeting minutes
17	Motion made by Mr. Holland, seconded by Mr. Larson,
18	to approve the minutes of the Board's November 2014 meeting.
19	In <b>a</b> voice vote, motion passed unanimously.
20	
21	All individuals giving testimony before the Board
22	were sworn in.
23	
24	

1	<u>Cases</u>
2	1. Case: CE14080649 <u>INDEX</u>
3	LAWRENCE, DANE & NADINE
4	936-936 SW 16 TER (TANGELO ISLE)
5	Withdrawn.
6	
7	2. Case: CE14100952 <u>INDEX</u>
8	NORRIS, BH NEHAMA CAPITAL LLC
9	2550 NW 19 ST
10	MS. GOLDWIRE: Case number CE14100952, Inspector
11	Leroy Jones. Case address 2550 Northwest 19 Street, owner BH
12	Nehama Capital LLC. Property posted 12/19/14, advertised in
13	Daily Business Review 12/26/14 and 1/2/15. Notice is on the
14	agenda.
15	INSPECTOR JONES: Good afternoon.
16	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Good afternoon sir.
17	INSPECTOR JONES: Leroy Jones, Building Inspector
18	for the City of Fort Lauderdale. I'm here presenting case
19	number CE14100952 on today's agenda. This case was opened on
20	October 12, 2014.
21	MS. HASAN: Mr. Chair?
22	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Yes ma'am?
23	MS. HASAN: You didn't ask if there were any
24	conflicts before the case started to be presented.
25	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I was hoping to see if anybody else

on the dais would catch that too so anyway. Prior to -
MR. LARSON: I thought you did that when you first

3 | opened up --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: No sir. No, we're going to do it after the case has been read in by the clerk. Then, before we get the presentation, so thank you Rhonda. Is there anybody that's got a conflict with the case that has just been read in and is getting ready to be presented by the City? Hearing none, Mr. Jones --

MS. HASAN: Sorry to interrupt.

MR. WEYMOUTH: -- the floor is yours. Thank you Rhonda. Thank you.

INSPECTOR JONES: The case was opened on October 12 2014 by Wilson Quintero, Code Inspector for the City of Fort Lauderdale. The following pictures are pictures of the structure which is in total disrepair.

[Inspector Jones displayed photos of the property.]

The walls are coming apart; that's, the interior, the water has damaged the walls, drywall. Also, the ceiling, notice that ceiling where it's falling apart, it was, has caved in at. Because one of the other pictures, that's showing part of the structure that's outside which that column there is not fastened at all. The next picture you'll see how it's just not fastened on the bottom where it's coming apart. And also, that's showing the rear.

Ω

If you look at that AC unit on the top of that structure, it's right next to where the roof has caved in on the inside. So eventually what's going to happen is right where that AC is, it's going to cave in on the inside. And you'll notice also that it's wide open; anybody can walk inside of it and see, and no, sleep, whatever it was that they want to do. I wouldn't want to see somebody go and be under that AC unit whenever the structure give away and it caves in.

One thing I want to mention to the Board is, I was informed that my timestamp on those pictures is not accurate. And it's my mistake, I've corrected it now that I take pictures I make sure that that time frame is correct. But yes, and that's part of the interior where the ceiling is just caving in from the rain and it's just not safe.

And we are asking the Board to find for the City that this property is unsafe and to order the owner of the building to have it repaired or demolished in the next thirty days.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Any questions for the City before we hear from any respondents?

MR. PHILLIPS: Is that, are those pictures, when were those pictures taken?

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: The case was January 6, 2010.

INSPECTOR JONES: The case was, the initial case was October 12, 2014. My first visit was October 13, 2014, that's

1 MR. PHILLIPS: Okay. I'm just saying those pictures 2 adequately depict the condition of the property on the day you 3 were there? INSPECTOR JONES: 4 Yes. 5 MR. PHILLIPS: And does it accurately reflect the 6 condition that it is today? 7 INSPECTOR JONES: Yes it is. CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Thank you Inspector. Is there 8 9 anybody here that would like to speak on behalf of the 10 property or the respondent? Okay, seeing none, we'll close the public hearing and to bring it back. Does the Board have 11 12 any discussion on it? 13 MR. JARRETT: I have a question for the Inspector. 14 Did you try to contact the owner? I mean I would think you 15 probably did. 16 INSPECTOR JONES: I did not personally. 17 MR. JARRETT: Okay, did, was any contact made that 18 you know of? INSPECTOR JONES: Let me ask. Who can I, can you 19 20 give me one second? 21 MR. JARRETT: Sure. 22 INSPECTOR JONES: Okay, the secretary, once we send 23 the violation they try to contact the owner, and that's --2.4 through mail. That's the process.

MR. JARRETT: Okay. Thank you. I'm just curious.

25

1 INSPECTOR JONES: And a notice was also posted on 2 the property itself. It shows in one of the pictures where 3 the notice was also posted. MR. LARSON: Mr. Chairman? 4 5 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Any other questions? 6 MR. LARSON: Mr. Chairman? I have one question of 7 this gentleman. CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Yes sir. 8 9 MR. LARSON: Does this meet the criteria to have 10 it torn down? 11 INSPECTOR JONES: Yes. 12 MR. LARSON: Thank you. 13 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Anything else? Anybody want to 14 make a motion? 15 MR. PHILLIPS: I move we find the violation exists as alleged and we order the property owner to demolish the 16 17 structure within thirty days. And that we order the City to 18 demolish it, structure should the property owner fail to 19 timely demolish it. Such demolition to be accomplished by a 20 licensed demolition contractor pursuant to a City issued 21 demolition permit. 22 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: So we have a motion --23 MS. HALE: I'll second it. CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I'm sorry, did I hear a second? 2.4

have a motion and a second, is there any further discussion on

25

this matter?

2 MR. LARSON: Tear it down.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Hearing none, let's put it to a

vote. All in favor say aye.

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Any opposed? Hearing none, motion

carries.

8

9

10

11

12

1

3

4

5

6

7

## 3. Case: CE14081499

INDEX

WEES, BASIL G & ELLEN L

442 SW 22 TER

Withdrawn.

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## 4. Case: CE14072163

INDEX

## DOWNTOWN FORT LAUDERDALE WATERFRONT

16 | 413 SW 3 AVE

MS. GOLDWIRE: Back to page one, it's a return hearing, old business. Case number CE14072163, case address 413 Southwest 3 Ave., owner Downtown Fort Lauderdale Waterfront, Inspector George Oliva. The property was posted 12/4/14, advertised in Daily Business Review 12/26/14 and 1/2/15. The case was first heard at the 11/20/2014 USB hearing. The Board ordered fifty-six days to demo with the instructions to the owner to do an emergency repair and to reappear at the 1/15/2015 USB hearing. Disclosures.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Georgia Oliva, Building Inspector for the City.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Inspector, one minute please. Is there anybody on the dais that has any disclosure with regard to this?

MR. BARRANCO: Yes I do.

1.5

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Mr. Barranco.

MR. BARRANCO: I did receive a letter from one of the consultants representing the owner of the structure. His name is Tyler Chappelle. I have provided a copy of that letter to the City's attorney as well as the Inspector for the City's record.

MR. HOLLAND: Yes, I'm, as Counsel disclosed earlier I was also copied on that e-mail. I have not responded and have not given a copy to the City.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: And I was also, I was contacted by the owner, I think it was the day after our last meeting when we talked about this, just to understand the thought process behind where the Board was coming from on what was being suggested for the repair to the condition, so. Any others? All right.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: George Oliva, Building Inspector for the City. I'm going to be updating case number CE14072163 with the address 413 Southwest 3 Avenue. Yes, I was also contact by the architect or professional designer; he sent me

an e-mail also. And I took it to the Building Official. He asked me to see the pictures of the prescribed repair that were done. And I went to the property, I took the following pictures that I'm going to show to the Board which is, shows that the repairs that were done was to paint a stripe, orange stripe --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Excuse me real quick if I could.

I'm sorry Inspector but again, for the benefit of Mr. Seitel,

can you just give a real quick reminder of what this case is

about so that he's up to speed with where you're at.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Sure. That's what I was going to do.

MR. SEITEL: I did read the minutes.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Are you up to speed on it? Okay.

MR. SEITEL: I think I am.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Thank you, thank you for that.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Okay. Well really, to be honest the pictures are the same that I took when we presented the case for the first time. And what we're talking about is an unsafe dock and sea wall behind the property 413 which you can see the pictures and the condition of the sea wall and the dock is unsafe.

And the prescribed repair that's the one that were done. What they did they put like a orange stripe in the concrete and put a yellow rope so people won't walk into that

part of the dock. But that doesn't meet the requirement that the Building Official, when we went to the meeting with the professional designer back in, I believe after we, we came to the hearing the last time we met with the professional designer and the Building official three week after and he request for emergency repair to be done.

But he was asking for a walkway between, or behind the seawall into the dock itself where they dock the boats, so that way the people can walk on top of the walkway, the wood walkway which is not done. The only place that they did anything is just put a rope and a orange stripe on the ground. So I don't believe that they have met the emergency repair that the City asked.

MR. HOLLAND: Go ahead.

MS. HALE: George? On this --

MR. HOLLAND: Address the Chair, please.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Yes.

MR. WEYMOUTH: Go ahead.

MS. HALE: George, on this, well --

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Which picture? Well, we can go back and forth with the pictures.

MS. HALE: That is the only way to stay out of it is if you see that piece of dangly rope and the red line on the, that he's painted?

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Right, that's why --

MS. HALE: And is that boat the last boat coming up? In other words, is nothing forward of the boat that we see there?

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Let me go back to the picture where it shows the boat. Can we move the slide back please? One more, there we go, hold it right there. As you can see, there is a vessel all the way in the back where the dock, that's the part that the dock was repaired --

MS. HALE: Yes.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: -- back in 2012.

MS. HALE: Right.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Which that part is not as bad as the front part.

MS. HALE: Right.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: So when we met with the professional designer we told them that the rear part can be used the way it is but the other two spots, after that boat, in front of that boat there's two more vessels that are parked there at the dock over there.

MS. HALE: Two more?

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Yes. As you can see on the previous picture from the main, when we came here for the first time with the hearing, there are two more vessels docked in front of that one. And that's the one that the Building Official asked to have a walkway built out of wood, you know,

just plywood top of the, between the piles and the grass so that we people can go on top of that without standing on top of the unsafe dock.

And that's the part that wasn't done. So, they're still using the dock and it remains unsafe the way it is now.

MS. HALE: Definitely.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Mr. Holland, you had a question.

MR. HOLLAND: Yes. Both times we've heard this case there's been a lot of discussion about the seawall.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Yes.

MR. HOLLAND: We've never seen the seawall. And we've recommended somebody grab a paddle board or a kayak. I do it professionally when I'm doing docks and seawalls.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: I do understand that.

MR. HOLLAND: I even don snorkel gear and get a picture of it. But we don't really know the integrity of that seawall by pictures. And what's on top is more like a cap slab.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Right. Well, when we met with the professional designer at that time back in December, that was one of the questions that the Building Official asked and the answer was a part of the seawall --

MR. HOLLAND: That it's part of the seawall.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: -- was damaged already but that's why they're going to apply in the next six months, they're

going to go through the engineering corps they're going to rebuild the whole site.

MR. HOLLAND: I totally understand. This whole site is going to be redone in a grandiose fashion, that's understood.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Right. And that's the issue.

MR. HOLLAND: But we're trying to deal with temporary relief for a small business, and I think we voted on a complete demolition of the seawall or something last time and I haven't seen a seawall yet.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: No, we didn't, we really what we agreed the last time if I quite remember -- and a member of the Board can say yes or no on it -- is that we were asking the property owner to do emergency repair and then we will allow them to go through the procedure obtaining the permit to the engineer corps, the Broward County and all the regulation that needs to be met. And this is a copy right here of the final ruling that we have.

And the only thing that the City's asking is for that part of the dock to be safe for the continued use of the daily use of it. And we would allow them to go through the whole procedure with no issue whatsoever as long that we sure that nobody will go through the wood and go into the water. That's the only thing that we want.

MR. HOLLAND: I understand all that. My question, I

gathered all of that from your very good testimony of both meetings. But I think there are statements that the vertical seawall is also failing at this time.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Um-hm. According to the professional designer working for the owner at the time that we met he made a statement to the Building Official that part of the seawall was already damaged.

MR. HOLLAND: Okay, my question is --

INSPECTOR OLIVA: But, I don't have any picture of the seawall present condition. That's if you want to know that.

MR. HOLLAND: Okay. What I'm trying to get at is even if you do a temporary structure to overlay things like a gangway with --

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Right, that's the only thing we ask.

MR. HOLLAND: -- handrails and everything, in a way you're still going to probably be relying on some kind of vertical support component from whatever semblance of the vertical seawall --

INSPECTOR OLIVA: That's --

MR. HOLLAND: -- is still underneath and out of sight of your pictures. Please let me finish.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Right.

MR. HOLLAND: And I felt we did talk about this last

time. We got a lot of talent up here and it was mentioned that we could use a picture of that vertical seawall. And it's hard for -- I'm not trying to be difficult but I cannot understand -- I'll go out on the better part of a rotten deck like that and I'll lay over that and I'll lace my camera to my hand so I don't drop it and I'll get a picture from underneath.

There's any number of ways to get a picture of the seawall. But in the future if we don't have it now it really would be nice to get a picture of a seawall when a seawall's up for a temporary support of a temporary structure and and/or is failing and might let all temporary structure fail along with it.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Right.

MR. HOLLAND: So I know, I understand from the Building Official we don't have a whole lot of engineers anymore on matters of certain expertise involving structural engineering he'd have to go to the County.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Right.

MR. HOLLAND: Sure is a different Fort Lauderdale than the Fort Lauderdale I knew when I got here twenty years ago.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: I can understand that.

MR. HOLLAND: Okay, maybe that's for the best and we've dropped a bunch of salaries but there's a problem with

the lack of engineering here at the City on staff that could help with some of this so if we don't have that, those resources, let's look into getting them please because otherwise I really would like to see the real seawall in this case.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Right.

MR. HOLLAND: And I think the public and this Board would like to see it. But anyway let's continue.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: I do agree with your statement but I want you to understand that what the Building Official asked was, a two-by-six to be extend from the dolphin pile across on top of the seawall all the way to the grass part and to be support from the grass into the dolphin pile. So that way you don't have to rely in the seawall itself or walk on top of it. And we were talking about gangway, like you say a little walkway, whatever, thirty-six inches wide to go from the vessel to the shore. That's the only thing we asked.

MR. HOLLAND: Okay, and we asked for this from the Building Official and we have not received.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: We haven't get anything from them that they have done that repair that's the only issue we're here.

MR. HOLLAND: Understand. Very good job George. Thank you.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Let's bring this back into focus

and then move on. My recollection was is that we suggested to the respondent to work with the City with putting up some sort of a temporary measure and there was some lively discussion amongst us professionals who think we know how to fix that, but at the end of the day we suggested leaving it up to the engineers of record, the City and the respondent to come up with the proper application to give the captains and crew of these vessels proper access to the boats so --

MR. HOLLAND: You got two members not listening. They got their own conversation going on down there Mike.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Let's, lets --

MR. HOLLAND: Can we bring them back into focus, this is important. Please.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Do you have any other questions for the City?

MR. HOLLAND: Me?

2.5

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Yes.

MR. HOLLAND: Yes, the way I understand it, I think you expressed it right. We asked for professional recommendations from the City in case of default by the respondent and the respondent was asked to respond more economically on their own and it sounds like we've got no response from the respondent at least.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I don't recall it that way but let's --

MR. CROGNALE: I don't recall that. 1 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: 2 Huh? MR. CROGNALE: I don't recall that. 3 4 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I'm sorry but who's down there, is 5 that you Joe? 6 I believe, you don't read, did you MR. HOLLAND: 7 read the minutes from last? 8 MR. CROGNALE: 9 MR. HOLLAND: Maybe I'm wrong. If I stand corrected 10 I apologize. 11 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Thornie? 12 MR. JARRETT: I think it's a little bit above, I 13 think that I made the motion, didn't I? I don't recall now but 14 I think I made the motion and I think we found for the City on 15 the violations but we put that fifty-six days in there to give 16 an opportunity to the owner to make some, because we were also 17 received testimony that the permit to repair the docks would 18 actually take six months because it involved --19 INSPECTOR OLIVA: The Corps of Engineers, right. 20 MR. JARRETT: -- the Corps of Engineers and the 21 different processes it would have to go through. 22 MR. CROGNALE: But the City wasn't supposed to 23 provide an engineer. 24 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: [inaudible] Joe. 25 MR. JARRETT: And so --

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Not the City, no, the property owner.

MR. CROGNALE: The property owner.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Guys, let's let the Thornie finish his statement, then we'll carry on because now when they read the records it's going to sound all distorted, okay? Thornie, finish, please.

MR. JARRETT: Okay. So I think that we actually found the violations existed. I think that we actually called for demolition but we put the fifty-six days on there as an opportunity for the owner to come in with some engineering drawings showing how he could repair. And it sounds to me like the City has been diligent and they have like actually spoke with the designer --

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Right.

MR. JARRETT: -- but there's no way shape or form than any of us up here would accept that string strung around the defective area as a proper barrier for safety.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: And I do have a copy of the final order from the Board which it say to do the emergency repair and the City would allow them an extension of time to proceed with the due process to get the permit. But the emergency repair haven't done or haven't done properly. And we're not approving the way they did it over there. That's the issue that we're back here fifty-six day after because they were

supposed to provide us, the City of Fort Lauderdale, with an emergency repair that the Building Official would accept. And the way they did it over there, Building Official's not accepting that type of repair.

MR. JARRETT: Right. So therefore, if we don't take any action because they haven't taken proper action, they meaning the building owner, property owners, if they haven't taken any action to do it then the Board would just not take any action to give them an extension on the demolition order. So now the demolition order just takes effect is that, I think, Rhonda, is that, am I saying that right?

MS. HASAN: Yes sir. And you did make the motion, I was looking at the minutes. Page forty-three, you said, "I'm suggesting that we find the violations exist like the City has presented, that we order a demolition, we order it in sixty days and that gives him the opportunity to come back here in sixty days and ask for an extension on that demolition order based upon the fact that they've made everything as safe as they can, that they can."

MR. JARRETT: Right. And they have not done that according to your testimony. So if we don't take any action at all the demolition order stands.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: As you can see in the pictures, they talk by themselves.

MR. JARRETT: Yes. It's like --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Well, okay --1 2 MR. JARRETT: We all know that that string run 3 around that area is not acceptable. MS. HALE: Isn't going to do any good. 4 5 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: So, hang on, before we 6 bouncing. Because Joe had, was asking for the floor and he's 7 got an opportunity to ask a question. 8 MR. CROGNALE: Yes, the question my, was the 9 recollection is City wasn't required to provide 10 engineering. The City wasn't required to provide engineering. 11 INSPECTOR OLIVA: No, we don't. The --12 MR. CROGNALE: It was the owner as part of the --13 INSPECTOR OLIVA: In this case the burden is on the 14 owners of the property that the one that have to provide 15 compliance with the City. 16 MR. CROGNALE: They would provide that to 17 satisfaction of the City that that repair method would be 18 acceptable. 19 INSPECTOR OLIVA: Right. That's correct. 20 MR. CROGNALE: That was my recollection. 21 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Mr. Holland. 22 MR. HOLLAND: Yes. And to elaborate on that, when 23 we're talking about City resources. 24 MS. HALE: No. 25

I was asking her if she had a

CHAIR WEYMOUTH:

question.

1

2

3

4

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HOLLAND: Okay. Let's try this again. If, just clarification, often, if it's understood that if the applicant defaults on something and there's an unsafe condition, the City has limited resources but sometimes resources either doing demolition or perhaps secure something when there's a I wouldn't expect the City to put in a gangway to maintain this Water Taxi business getting on their boats on something and buying into a liability, by no means. But they do have the ability to maybe assess what needs to be selectively demolished sometimes to make something without blowing the bank by blowing out a \$200,000 seawall and having to shore up all that soil going into the navigable waterways.

No, that's, that's, sometimes you've got to keep the seawall even if it's falling down because removing it can get a lot worse. So that's what I was alluding to about sometimes using City forces and allay, and they allude to sometimes needing to get help with County forces. But there are such things as engineers and you can find them some places in government sometimes.

MR. CROGNALE: But that's putting a burden on the City that they're not obligated to accept.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: No.

[People speaking over each other]

MR. HOLLAND: Understand, we lien properties. In things like that we have the ability to lien properties okay? So we can recover on that. And the City knows how to avoid undue liability.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Joe, I believe in this case what

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Joe, I believe in this case what the Building Official's going to order, it's going to be a stop order to use the dock --

MR. HOLLAND: That's what I wanted to hear, yes.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: -- and stop the business there. And we're going to shut down with the Fire Marshall the place down until it becomes safe. That's probably going to be the second.

MR. HOLLAND: Right.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: But we're not going to go and demo that dock. We're not going to remove the seawall at all. That's for sure.

MR. HOLLAND: Right. Yes, let's just, the thing to do would be to shut it down. I applaud your move on that and -

INSPECTOR OLIVA: That's what the City going to have to do. It's going to have to get with the Fire Marshal and shut them down.

MR. HOLLAND: Just don't tie any boats up to the rain three if it's still there. Is this the property with the rain tree? Take care of that one while you're at it.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Any more questions for Inspector
Oliva before --

MR. PHILLIPS: Is there anyone here for the --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Well, I'm, before I move on to that I saw the Chief up there, I didn't know whether he wanted to weigh in. Did you have a comment, did you want to say something?

MR. HERNANDEZ: No. I just wanted to discuss about the seawall. I mean, it's obvious from the pictures, I mean, you've seen the original pictures that -- Alex Hernandez, Assistant Building Official, City of Fort Lauderdale -- if you remember the original pictures, the pile caps, the cement walkway giving away, the big hole through the cement cap, that's all unsafe. We understand that, we gave the owners, we met with the team engineers, we gave them an opportunity to provide an engineer's report to us and make emergency repairs. We haven't heard back from them. It's obvious they haven't made the emergency repairs, so we're just asking for the dockage to be safe or not used. Or somebody's going to get hurt.

MR. HOLLAND: Right, right.

MR. HERNANDEZ: You can see the holes right there.

MR. HOLLAND: Just a vertical portion of the concrete. It might be good to get a picture next time this thing becomes before this Board. I'll loan you my two-seater

kayak.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HERNANDEZ: I have. We understand that, we understand that. But also we asked for a report from the engineers and they also could have taken pictures and shown us that the seawall is safe.

MR. HOLLAND: And they defaulted on this Board on showing us what they have in that regard.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Correct, correct.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Mr. Phillips.

MR. PHILLIPS: Mr. [inaudible] you know, talking about dolphin pilings, we're talking about the wooden deck, we're talking about the concrete apron off the seawall so there's four things. I mean, if the goal is not to have the Water Taxi use this, could the dolphin pilings be removed, could the wooden deck be removed by the City demolition and then could a chain-link fence be put around that vacant area to prevent injuries and that amount liened on the property? Rather than go to the extraordinary lengths of removing the concrete and the seawall. Wouldn't that, isn't that [inaudible] to do.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes. Anything's possible. We have unsafe structure and Chapter 116, either you make it secure, you demo it or you repair it. We're --

MR. PHILLIPS: I'm just saying if you take, if you got rid of the dolphin pilings and the wooden deck, there's no

1 reason a boat would ever, they couldn't go up there. you, now, would that also obtain all the way down to the dock 3 that is connected to this deteriorating dock? 4 MR. HERNANDEZ: Again, we put the ball in their 5 court and we asked them to --6 PHILLIPS: [inaudible] you know what, MR. they 7 haven't come back to us. 8 MR. HERNANDEZ: Correct. 9 MR. PHILLIPS: A little, too little too late. 10 MR. HERNANDEZ: Right. 11 MR. PHILLIPS: It's an insult to spray paint 12 something orange and put tape around it. 13 MR. HERNANDEZ: Right. Right. 14 MR. PHILLIPS: Quite, where someone could easily have gotten injured. It's an attractive nuisance, there's 15 16 children, there's liability, so --17 MR. HERNANDEZ: Your ideas, are they possible? 18 MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. 19 MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes, it's an option. But we weren't 20 21 MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, we're talking about telling them 22 not to show up. If you remove it, then there's going to be a 23 whole process for them --24 MR. HERNANDEZ: But as you can see, there's nothing

to keep any boat from docking up to that space and just

```
1
    hopping off the boat and getting injured and breaking a leg.
    Remember, this is daytime too. At nighttime it's --
 2
              MR. PHILLIPS: [inaudible] that's the same property
 3
 4
    owner that owns --
 5
              MR. HERNANDEZ: That is the same property owner.
 6
              MR. PHILLIPS: It's what?
 7
              MR.
                  HERNANDEZ:
                                Yes, that is the same property
 8
    owner.
 9
              MS. HALE:
                         But that's the new dock that that other
10
    boat is on. It was repaired.
11
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.
12
              MR. PHILLIPS:
                            Anyway.
13
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Anything else?
14
              MR. PHILLIPS:
15
              MR. WEYMOUTH: Just a second Ms. Hale.
16
              MS. HALE: Could I?
17
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Just a second.
                                              Joe?
18
             MR. HOLLAND: Yes, just to clarify, Jack, again --
19
              MR. PHILLIPS: Hey Joe, I'd like to listen from him,
20
    we've taken an hour on this.
21
             MR. HOLLAND: You, I just spoke about --
22
             MR. PHILLIPS: Joe, I got my answer. I'm satisfied.
23
             CHAIR WEYMOUTH: No, he's --
24
             MR. HOLLAND:
                            No, I'm commenting on something.
   clarifying something you said. I just gave a speech about the
25
```

importance about the vertical concrete component of this assembly and you named four parts and you left it out, okay? There is a vertical component of concrete I assume that's truly the seawall in this matter and I offer the possibility that removing the dolphin piles and that wooden dock would make it more unsafe to the navigable waterway because right now that provides a buffer against that nasty concrete that's probably breaking up under there.

So before we engineer on the dais here, again, I say it's the City's job, staff, to do the engineering with whatever sources, resources they can come up with if they have to get in in a default situation and do selective demolition. We don't need to belabor it on this panel.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay, Ms. Hale?

MS. HALE: Could I ask how many feet of this dock we are looking at? We don't see going the other way; we only see going up towards the other boat and the repaired dock.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: George Oliva, Building Inspector for the City, if you like I will show the original pictures but --

MS. HALE: No, just take an estimate.

MR. PHILLIPS: Just give us a number.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: The dock, if you go back to the --

MS. HALE: Hundred feet?

MR. LARSON: There it is.

1 INSPECTOR OLIVA: It's about a hundred and twenty. 2 MS. HALE: Okay, this is it. 3 INSPECTOR OLIVA: But, keep going a little bit more, one more. The one that shows the depth. 4 5 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I think she's trying to ask 6 Inspector --7 INSPECTOR OLIVA: Right. 8 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: -- is this the limit of the damaged 9 area? 10 MR. LARSON: Right there, right there, right there, that's --11 12 INSPECTOR OLIVA: Well no. That's where it begins 13 but it really goes all the way to the end. But at the end, they obtain a permit back in 2012 to repair part of the wood 14 15 dock on the top, the deck itself. But since the piles are 16 giving up, the new part -- let's put it this way, the one that was repaired -- is already sagging too. But that's, you can 17 18 see those better with my previous --19 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Is it in violation? Is it unsafe? 20 INSPECTOR OLIVA: According to the professional 21 designer that met with us, he said one of the dolphin pile give in and that's when the part was sagging area but that's 22 23 not as bad as the front part here. 24 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.

I think that one is safe to be

INSPECTOR OLIVA:

honest with you. That's why I said it had nothing to do with the rear vessel but the only, the two that's parked in front of that vessel.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay, Mr. Larson?

MR. LARSON: Mr. Chairman, I think we've belabored this thing enough. We have a motion that's been in effect from last month. Let the City go on and do what they have to do. The people haven't met their responsibilities so let the City do what they have to do because the Order is in effect and I think we should move on.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: All right. Anything else before we hear from any respondents? Joe?

MR. CROGNALE: Yes. The, Mr. Larson took the words out of my mouth. We already have standing on it.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: All right, well, you're belaboring it then Joe.

MR. CROGNALE: I'm going to add one thing. I haven't had any testimony from anyone to show otherwise why we should consider anything other than what that original motion was.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I hear you. I think if we would allow the Inspector to sit down and if there's a respondent then we will hear that. If not, we can move forward with it seems like the desire of trying to bring a conclusion to this so. If there's nothing else different for the Inspector, I'm

1 assuming, sir, you're here to speak on behalf of 2 respondent. MR. MITCHELL: Yes I am. 3 4 If you would please approach the CHAIR WEYMOUTH: 5 lectern and identify yourself. 6 MR. LARSON: [inaudible] a half hour ago. 7 MR. MITCHELL: Good afternoon. Matt Mitchell, the 8 Chappell Group, environmental consultant for the owner. 9 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I'm sorry, your name again? 10 MR. PHILLIPS: Would you just do me a favor, speak 11 up slowly because I can't, we can't hear you. 12 MR. MITCHELL: Matt Mitchell with the Chappell 13 Group, environmental consultant. 14 MR. PHILLIPS: What's your name? 15 MR. MITCHELL: Matt Mitchell with the Chappell Group 16 we're the environmental --17 MR. PHILLIPS: Chapeau Group? 18 MR. MITCHELL: Chappell. 19 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Chappell. 20 MR. PHILLIPS: Oh, okay. 21 MR. MITCHELL: We're an environmental consultant for 22 the owner. I just wanted to provide an update. As far as I 23 know, right now, the reason for the delay, we had an engineer 24 complete a analysis and determined that the seawall along the 25 riverside is stable and that as of right now we have a

contractor hired in which to perform a emergency repair and replacement of the segments of the dock on either side of the failing deck. And that, those permits should be in hand in the next thirty to sixty days.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Have those permits, have those permit applications been presented to the City? Is this the first time the City is hearing this?

MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes.

MR. MITCHELL: Okay.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.

MR. MITCHELL: The contractor is handling the permitting and as far as I'm aware they're in for a design with final structural plans.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.

MR. MITCHELL: And obviously, the structural plans are to construct the new docks that are going to still allow the facility to operate while allowing demolition of the portion that's broken with the, looking ahead of the overall development in the next six months to do all of the seawall along the property.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I'm assuming you don't have a permit application number for the application that's being submitted.

MR. MITCHELL: I do not, no.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.

MR. MITCHELL: I'm not handling the permitting on this one; it's going to be handled by the contractor.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Mr. Holland.

MR. HOLLAND: Yes, Matt, sorry if I missed it but

MR. HOLLAND: Yes, Matt, sorry if I missed it but this is all for the permanent structure of this large facility that's going to be constructed, correct? Or is this a temporary measure --

MR. MITCHELL: This is a temporary fix to the problem at hand. We are contracted to do all the design and permitting for the overall seawall and dock design in the future. But for now we are just here addressing the unsafe structure and the contractor is in for permits to get the sections on either side of the failing concrete deck repaired with the intent that the middle piece might be demolished when the contractor's on site doing the work.

MR. HOLLAND: Okay, some of this will be incorporated in the permanent design or do you think it's going to be a clean sweep for the permanent design?

MR. MITCHELL: The engineer determined that the seawall along the river is stable for now but knowing that we're going to do a repair and replace likely of the whole thing for the overall project, right now it's a temporary fix.

MR. HOLLAND: Okay.

MR. MITCHELL: And we're coming up with structural means to make what we're going to be using safe while

```
1
   eliminating the current problem.
2
             MR. HOLLAND: Okay. Do you know what date you were,
3
   you, both parties signed your contract to begin work on this?
 4
             MR. MITCHELL: I don't have that with me.
 5
             MR. HOLLAND: And, or the contractor?
 6
             MR. MITCHELL: Probably within the last thirty days.
7
   The contractor, I think, has been on hand for about thirty
8
   days.
9
             MR. HOLLAND: Okay, and you were guessing, your firm
10
11
             MR. MITCHELL: About the same.
12
             MR. HOLLAND: Okay, thank you.
13
             CHAIR WEYMOUTH:
                               I'm not sure if I understand the
14
   question you asked but I've got a question I think [inaudible]
15
   similar lines.
16
                           I'd be glad to explain it.
             MR. HOLLAND:
17
             CHAIR WEYMOUTH:
                             When did you -- no --
18
             MR. HOLLAND: I thought I was clear.
19
             MR. MITCHELL: I'm sorry, the owner --
20
             CHAIR WEYMOUTH: No, I have a question I don't think
21
   you've touched on or you might have. My question is, when did
22
   you, as the design professional, give to the contractor the
23
   set of plans to go in and permit with the City?
24
             MR. MITCHELL: All right, let me clarify.
                                                               The
25
           rep actually had more information on the,
   owner's
```

contractual status, we've been under contract with the owner 1 2 for sixty days. 3 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I don't care how long you been under -- when did you give the contractor the set of plans to 4 5 go to the Building Department to permit? Did you give them to him this morning? 6 7 MR. MITCHELL: No. The contractor has already done 8 his own design and is currently doing permitting. We are not handling the design or permitting for the emergency seawall 10 repair. 11 What, I'm sorry I thought that's CHAIR WEYMOUTH: 12 what The Chappelle Group was doing for them. What is The 13 Chappelle Group doing for them? 14 MR. MITCHELL: We're here on behalf of them to try 15 to address the situation today knowing that we are going to be 16 doing all the design and permitting for the overall structure 17 in the future. 18 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Gotcha. 19 INSPECTOR OLIVA: George Oliva --20 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Hang on, Inspector, hang on 21 second. 22 INSPECTOR OLIVA: Okay. 23 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Joe, I mean John? 24 MR. BARRANCO: Hey Matt.

25

MR. MITCHELL:

Yes.

1 MR. BARRANCO: I've got a question. The engineering 2 report you refer to, who was the engineer that went out there? 3 MR. MITCHELL: Dynamic Engineering Solutions. 4 MS. GOLDWIRE: Please speak into the mic, we can't 5 hear you. MR. BARRANCO: 6 So. 7 MR. MITCHELL: I heard you. 8 MR. BARRANCO: You heard me. 9 Dynamic Engineering Solutions, MR. MITCHELL: 10 Pompano Beach. Okay, so Dynamic Engineering did the 11 MR. BARRANCO: 12 report and do you have a copy of that report with you? 13 MR. MITCHELL: I do. And I can make that available 14 if needed. 15 MR. BARRANCO: Do you have it here? 16 MR. MITCHELL: Yes. 17 MR. BARRANCO: Can we see that? 18 MR. MITCHELL: Sure. 19 MR. BARRANCO: Thank you. 20 INSPECTOR OLIVA: Just for the records, George 21 Oliva, Building Inspector for the City, this is not the same 22 company that met with the Building Official, myself and Alex Hernandez; this is a complete different company first time 23 24 that we talked to them.

MR. HOLLAND: And --

1 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Go ahead Joe. 2 MR. HOLLAND: In an effort to help clarify, is it 3 true to say that The Chappelle Group is basically functioning 4 as a general permitting consultant to the owner and you have 5 the contractor, the engineers and now they're all together working to get the permits for both the temporary and the 6 7 permanent. Is that an accurate statement? 8 MR. MITCHELL: That is correct, yes. 9 HOLLAND: Thank you. So it's like a general 10 contractor but in this case a general permitting consultant. 11 MR. PHILLIPS: Who painted the yellow, the orange 12 stripe and put the tape up? 13 MR. MITCHELL: I believe that was the owner in an 14 attempt to address the situation while we have the contractor 15 handling the permitting to do the work. 16 MR. PHILLIPS: Is the owner here? 17 MR. MITCHELL: His representative is, yes. 18 MR. PHILLIPS: Who? Oh. 19 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: While they're looking that over, 20 Thornie, go ahead. 21 MR. JARRETT: What was your intent today to present to the Board? What did you, what were you going to present or 22 23 ask the Board to do? 24 MR. MITCHELL: Our intent was only to provide an 25 update. The only thing I could request is possibly thirty or

sixty days in which for the contractor and the contractor's structural engineer to finalize permits to do the emergency repair for the docks on either side of the area that's failing and get, allow the operation to remain ongoing.

MR. JARRETT: Did the, did you and the owner understand that there was a demolition permit already, I mean a demolition order already given by this Board and that we expected something more than what we're getting today?

MR. MITCHELL: We weren't involved at that point. So as far as I know, the minute we became involved with the project we consulted with our typical structural engineer to give us an analysis on the overall property looking big picture in the future while we were able to address this current situation.

So we are here on that behalf today to get the situation resolved sixty days in which to get the permits for the contractor to actually do the work and at that point if we still need to demolish the section of dock that's unsafe we can do so. But the idea is to have the docks functioning to allow the operations to continue.

MR. JARRETT: I'm curious why, because someone must have not understood that we were actually asking for a permit to be applied for --

MR. MITCHELL: And it has been.

MR. JARRETT: -- Before this hearing.

MS. HALE: It has been?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes. A permit has been applied for to process the necessary permits through the regulatory agencies in which to get approvals for the proposed dock repair and replacement. And any demolition that --

MR. JARRETT: That's the total --

MR. MITCHELL: -- and any demolition that goes along with it.

MR. JARRETT: Okay. Let me clarify for my own purposes here. I think what you're alluding to is the permit application process to the Corps of Engineers for the new dock for the future.

MR. MITCHELL: Correct.

MR. JARRETT: That doesn't really address the immediate issue of repairs for public safety reasons to the Building Department. And I think George, your testimony has been that the City has no application for that at this point.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: George Oliva, Building Inspector for the City, no. We don't have an application. I checked the computer at two o'clock today before coming here looking for an application. There's nothing on the records showing that they had applied to Broward County or the City of Fort Lauderdale.

MR. JARRETT: And the process that you're talking about would be all right if we didn't have, if the owner

didn't have a tenant there now --

MR. MITCHELL: Um-hm.

MR. JARRETT: -- the Water Taxi people, they're a tenant, that are using the property between now and when your large project takes place. We were, we gave the extension, the Board gave the extension of the fifty-six days beyond what we would normally order in an effort not to inconvenience or cause undue hardship to the Water Taxi business.

However, it looks like the owner is not really addressing that issue. They're addressing the long-term issue. We wanted something done in the immediate time trying to allow the Water Taxi people to continue to operate and it doesn't sound to me like any of that has been done. Am I incorrect?

MR. MITCHELL: To further clarify, the permits that are in-house, they're not in-house with the City. They are in-house from the contractor and the contractor's engineer with the County and the Army Corps in which to do some dock repair and replacement in conjunction which the demolition that's being requested to make the structure safe. We cannot bring a permit application to the City for a building permit until we have the applicable regulatory permits in hand. That's the way we typically handle our docks and seawalls.

MR. JARRETT: Well I think what you're --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: That's repaired or new?

MR. JARRETT: Yes, I think that --

MR. MITCHELL: Either. In this case, the repairs in conjunction with this section has to be demolished it includes pile supportive structures, which requires regulatory approval by the County, the State and the Army Corps as applicable.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: George Oliva --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Not that I'm absolutely positive on this statement but my understanding is that you can seek a permit from the City without having to get the Army Corps to do a repair. Again, I wouldn't --

INSPECTOR OLIVA: George Oliva, Building Inspector, that's correct that was a statement that I was going to say. They don't have to go through Broward County or the Army Corps to do a repair of the existing dock, which that's what we ask from them. Even the Building Official said for them to do the repair and then come to the City and apply for the emergency repair permit.

And the permit was granted right there at that moment for them to take action. They haven't done anything; they haven't met the requirement of the Building Official of the City of Fort Lauderdale and once again I'm asking the Board to rule that this is an unsafe and probably going to have to move to a stop and desist the business for a while.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Is there anybody else that would like to ask the respondent a question? Mr. Barranco?

MR. BARRANCO: One comment. I'm going back to what

Thornie said originally. We've got an order to demolish. It's great that we've had all this dialogue; we know where we stand, I think the owner's reps know where we stand. There is an order to demolish and the City can act on that now. They didn't really hold up their end of the bargain and I don't know that there is a motion that can be said. So that's all I have to say there.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.

MR. SEITEL: Mr. Chair?

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Yes sir, Mr. Seitel.

MR. SEITEL: I didn't have the privilege of being here but I did read the minutes and I note that there is an owner representative --

MS. GOLDWIRE: Please speak into the microphone.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Make sure your microphone is on and bring your singing voice.

MR. SEITEL: I was not present but I did read the minutes and it appears that there was an owner representative here who may or may not be this young lady, it's a Miss Viaros? Who made it pretty clear that it was the owner's intent to come back here today and show us that emergency repairs in fact had been made, that they were going to have a letter from an engineer to show exactly what they were going to do. It seems to me that they've exacerbated the situation by putting this hazard of a line across and anybody could

cross over. So it seems to me that they've been completely 1 dilatory in all their efforts and that it's pretty clear to me 2 3 what needs to be done. 4 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Anyone else? Thank you. 5 MR. MITCHELL: Closing statement? On behalf of the 6 owner. 7 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: You want to make closing 8 statement? 9 MR. JARRETT: Sure. 10 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. 11 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Does that mean no? MR. HOLLAND: No she's in consultation. 12 13 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: And while we're giving him, just a 14 I'm, in front of all of us are little buttons and it will help me instead of trying to see who wants to make a 15 comment if you would just push because it will light up here 16 17 and I'll be able to recognize that somebody wants to make a 18 I believe it's the bottom button. 19 MR. HOLLAND: I tried, does this --20 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: You're not lighting me up. Oh, 21 wait a minute, okay, try. I don't have the Mayor's touch. 22 MS. HALE: No. 23 MR. HOLLAND: I think I got the City Manager's 24 touch, mine's all --25 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Wait a minute, got it. All right

you guys can quit playing with your buttons.

MR. SEITEL: Do we push under the "call" or under the "mic."

MS. HALE: Don't push other buttons.

MR. SEITEL: Push them all.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Yes ma'am.

MR. SEITEL: Under "mic", okay.

MR. HOLLAND: They're ready, they're ready.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Somebody's saying "mic." Okay,

sir.

2.1

MR. MITCHELL: Sorry. Okay, in my limited involvement with the project it's my understanding that the owner has done everything he can in the time allotted in which to get an engineering analysis to fix the problem which we've presented today. Understandably, that might be a little bit late in the game for all you folks having already issued an order.

The only thing I can request at this point is a minor extension in which to address if something needs to happen immediately we can proceed with some amount of this work without a permit. City permitting is not my forte. We typically handle all the regulatory permitting and we've done everything we can in which to get the process moving quickly with the understanding that the regulatory agencies take longer and require authorizations that we typically take to

the City for a building permits when there's not an emergency demolition order in place.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Rhonda, guide me, is that a Board decision or is that something that they need to negotiate with the City? As it's now been said by a think three Board members, there's a standing order and I'm not so, I think this was just a call up to sort of hear where they're at and to see, but correct me on what --

MS. HASAN: This, Mr. Chair, it was a standing order, on page fifty-three of the minutes the motion that was made formally that was voted on was that the owner was agreeing to make emergency repairs and they had a right to come back to this meeting with proof of the repairs and proof of the contract with a professional engineer and that the Board at this meeting could entertain an extension to the demolition order.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: So would it be my job to ask if there's a Board member that would like to entertain a motion to extend the existing demolition order to see if anybody bites?

MS. HASAN: Yes sir.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.

MR. PHILLIPS: Well, I think even if we make the motion and it's seconded and it's voted against, that would make the, ratify the self-effectuating nature of our last

1 order. CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Correct, so. 2 3 MR. PHILLIPS: Or we don't have to do anything I 4 quess. 5 MS. HASAN: Yes, I --CHAIR WEYMOUTH: That's correct. 6 7 MS. HALE: Yes. 8 MS. HASAN: Correct. 9 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: All right. 10 MR. LARSON: I prefer just not to do anything. You 11 can go and get your stuff done --12 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: All right. 13 MR. LARSON: -- get the stuff done and get started 14 and the City has the right to hold back on the demolition. 15 MR. MITCHELL: Okay, I think --16 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Is, go ahead. 17 But I wouldn't take more than a couple MR. LARSON: 18 days to get your stuff in to the City because according to 19 what George said you can do it. 20 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. I understand. If the Board can 21 discuss a further extension that's fine. If there's a means 22 and measures we can take to further block off that area from 23 use to make it a little bit more functional in the interim I think that's something we can easily do.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Well I'm sure once we finish with

1 the public discussion then we'll bring it back to the Board, 2 there may be or there may not be some lively conversation so 3 once we close the public side of this then we'll bring it back for discussion. I don't want to limit you from being able to 4 bring anything you want and if you're done then we'll see if 5 6 anybody else wants to speak on this behalf and then we'll 7 bring it back. So, if you're done. 8 MR. MITCHELL: Thank you. 9 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Thank you very much. Joe, do you 10 have a question for him? 11 MR. HOLLAND: Yes, I just heard something about 12 making measures to keep this pathway and boat loading 13 operation open in the interim. But I thought on the other 14 hand we had all determined it was going to be shut down until 15 corrective measures are made. 16 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: They've got the orders in front of 17 them and I think that you're probably accurate. I think --18 MR. HOLLAND: This thing needs to be shut down for 19 safety. 20 MR. LARSON: It's in the hands of the City, Joe. 21 MR. HOLLAND: I know, I know. But I'm hearing, I 22 don't know if he heard the --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: All right.

23

24

25

MR. MITCHELL: Well, I believe there's only --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: So, do you have a question

specific to, okay --1 2 MR. HOLLAND: No, just, I'll pass. 3 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Okay. 4 MR. HOLLAND: I'm just not hearing things right. 5 MR. MITCHELL: I believe there's only a small section 6 that's in serious disrepair that would cause any sort of 7 operational concerns. 8 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. 9 MR. MITCHELL: The remainder of the property is 10 perfectly functional including the section that was replaced 11 in 2012. 12 MR. PHILLIPS: Have you ever been there? 13 MR. MITCHELL: Yes. 14 HOLLAND: Bottom line, there better be MR. 15 engineering letter saying whatever pathway you're using is 16 safe and his name is signed on that and he will stand up for 17 the health and welfare of the people using that facility. 18 Yes, we've provided the report. MR. MITCHELL: The 19 report spells out what I mentioned and that we're in for 20 permits to conduct the repairs necessary to fix the safety 21 issue while keeping this, the facility operational in the 22 interim. 23 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Mr. Barranco? 24 MR. HOLLAND: Sounds like it needs to be shut down 25 until the emergency repairs are made.

1	MR. PHILLIPS: What report are you talking about?
2	MS. HASAN: I don't believe the City's I just
3	MR. MITCHELL: The engineering report I just
4	provided.
5	MS. HASAN: To whom?
6	MR. BARRANCO: We have it here.
7	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay, Mr. Barranco.
8	MR. BARRANCO: Thank you.
9	MR. HOLLAND: We have a picture of a sea wall if you
10	want to see it.
11	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: All right, Mr. Barranco.
12	MR. BARRANCO: Thank you Mr. Chair.
13	MS. HASAN: Yes. The City or the Building Official
14	doesn't have any signed and sealed report.
15	MR. BARRANCO: We do have that report and we can
16	enter that into evidence. Joe's reviewed it, I've reviewed
17	it. It spells out all the damage; it doesn't make
18	recommendations. It's pretty much an evaluation of the
19	existing conditions which agrees
20	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: What's the date of that report?
21	MR. BARRANCO: It's right after
22	MR. PHILLIPS: [inaudible] this is a November 10,
23	2014 report.
24	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Thank you.
25	MR. BARRANCO: It's a November 26, I think, a little

1 bit further in. MR. PHILLIPS: Just, why don't you make copies for 2 3 the record? But this is November 10. 4 MR. BARRANCO: Right. 5 MR. PHILLIPS: This is January, right? 6 MR. BARRANCO: Yes, but the original, this came 7 before the Board when? 8 MS. HASAN: In November. 9 In November, right? MR. BARRANCO: 10 MR. LARSON: November. 11 MS. HASAN: November 20. 12 MR. BARRANCO: So that was done prior to. 13 MR. PHILLIPS: Why wasn't this given to us before? 1.4 MR. MITCHELL: I do not know. 15 MR. BARRANCO: Well, if you read through it, 16 pretty much makes our case so I don't know that I would be 17 volunteering that information but now that we already know 18 there's damage I think that's why they presented it saying, 19 hey, we've got an engineer, we're aware of it. So that's a 20 good thing. A lot of people come up here without engineering. 21 But moving on, what I was going to ask George Oliva 22 and maybe the Assistant Building Official is do you guys have 23 an excavator lined up to tear this seawall down right now or

if these guys go ahead and take care of business and make it

safe will you call off the excavator? I mean, what's that

24

process like?

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Okay, at this moment the only thing that we request and we ask when we had that meeting with the professional designer and the person representing the property owner and the president of the Water Taxi company was to make an emergency repair. They don't have to come to the City to get the permit, just do the repair and then come back with a letter from the professional designer that was present in that meeting telling us the prescribed repair that was done and the emergency that was cured and then the City will allow them enough time to go through the process of going to the engineering corps and everything.

But the problem here -- and I want to keep the Board focused on the issue -- is that the order from the Building Official was bypassed. The deadline from the Board was completely forget, they didn't comply with the order from the Board and the dock is still being in use, is still unsafe.

The only thing that the City wants is an emergency repair to be done, somebody to come to the City with a letter saying this is the prescribed repair that was done. It can be used, the professional designer, the engineer, the architect have to provide that letter to the Building Official for him to approve it. If not, I don't know what's going to be happen, I don't know what's going to be his decision but let me tell you today he was not a happy person when I showed him

the pictures.

MR. BARRANCO: So George, that was a great summary, you put it all together.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Right.

MR. BARRANCO: So, tomorrow if you go out there and that whole area is gated out and they've put up whatever they need to put up to make it safe --

INSPECTOR OLIVA: I would say that's the emergency repair and they would be in compliance.

MR. BARRANCO: Okay.

MS. HASAN: And if it's like, and if it's still like that, it's most likely that the City will read tag that area against further use and just in the essence of full disclosure, particularly if it's a joint effort from the Building Official and the Fire Marshal, it is a criminal offense to violate a red tag.

MR. BARRANCO: So at this point the City has the right to go out there and demolish it. The City will work with you as he just stated but if you get that stuff done before they get the cranes cranked up you should be in good shape. So I suggest you go out there and get it done.

MR. MITCHELL: Okay, and the area in question more or less mimics the area that's cordoned off currently by the rope and the painted line. So that area will be --

MR. BARRANCO: You'll have to discuss that with that

```
1
    guy right there.
 2
              MR. MITCHELL: With George.
 3
              MR. BARRANCO: And he'll tell you exactly what you
    need to do.
 4
             MR. MITCHELL: Then that is more than gracious.
 5
 6
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Very good. Thank you.
 7
             MR. MITCHELL:
                            Thank you.
 8
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH:
                               Anybody else want to be heard on
 9
    this before we bring it back to the Board and close the public
10
   hearing on it?
11
             MR. PHILLIPS: Can I ask the owner's rep --
12
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I'm sorry, yes, go ahead, I'm sorry
13
    I didn't see your light on.
14
             MR. PHILLIPS: Can I speak to the owner's rep since
15
    she's here?
16
             MS. KETOR: She wasn't sworn in.
17
             MS. GOLDWIRE:
                            She was not sworn in.
18
             MR. PHILLIPS: Well, could we do it now?
19
             MS. GOLDWIRE: If she wants. Do you want to be
20
   sworn?
21
             MS. HERNANDEZ: Okay, I [inaudible]
22
             CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Hang on just a second, she's going
23
   to go ahead and swear you in.
24
             MS. HERNANDEZ: Hi everyone.
25
             CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Good afternoon ma'am, could you
```

state your name for the record please? 1 2 MS. HERNANDEZ: Alena Hernandez. 3 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I'm sorry? 4 MS. HERNANDEZ: Alena Hernandez. 5 MR. PHILLIPS: How are you related to the property 6 owner? 7 MS. HERNANDEZ: I am his executive assistant. 8 MR. PHILLIPS: Who is the owner? 9 MS. HERNANDEZ: Asaf Cymbal. Cymbal Development. 10 MR. PHILLIPS: Cymbal Development? 11 MS. HERNANDEZ: Yes. 12 MR. PHILLIPS: Okay. And right now, I understand 13 that the long-term plan is to develop this property. 14 MS. HERNANDEZ: Um-hm. 15 MR. PHILLIPS: Short term, in the long term this 16 dock and the seawall and the vertical, as Mr. Holland so 17 professionally -- it's all going to be gone and redone 18 properly. 19 MS. HERNANDEZ: Um-hm. 20 MR. PHILLIPS: All right. This report, which I just 21 saw shows that everything needs to be removed. So, long term 22 it's going to be a beautiful development. 23 MS. HERNANDEZ: Of course. 24 MR. PHILLIPS: Short term, is anyone, the whole 25 purpose of asking for an extension so you can continue to rent

```
the dock to the Water Taxi?
 1
 2
              MS. HERNANDEZ: M-hm.
 3
              MR. PHILLIPS:
                             Is that it? Is that the only reason
 4
    we've been discussing this?
 5
              MS. HERNANDEZ: To my understanding, I was not --
 6
              MR. PHILLIPS: You don't really know much about this
 7
    do you?
 8
              MS.
                   HERNANDEZ:
                                Ι
                                    am
                                         just
                                               here
                                                           listen.
 9
    [inaudible]
10
              MR. PHILLIPS: Okay. So, how many Water Taxi boats
11
    dock up to this [inaudible]
12
              MS. HERNANDEZ: I don't have that file with me, I
13
    didn't come --
14
             MR. PHILLIPS: Does the public actually get off the
15
   Water Taxi there?
16
             MS. HALE: No. No.
17
             MR. LARSON: No.
18
             MR. PHILLIPS: Or is this after they've gotten off?
19
             MR. PHILLIPS: They're just storing the boats there.
20
             MR. LARSON:
                            Just to help staff. Just to help,
   that's all.
21
22
             MS. HERNANDEZ:
                              That's what I'm assuming.
                                                          I don't
23
   have that information with me.
24
             MR. PHILLIPS: Okay. Have we asked the Water Taxi
25
    to come in and have them build a temporary platform for safety
```

1 MS. GOLDWIRE: Absolutely. 2 MR. JARRETT: Okav. 3 MS. HALE: I go back more. 4 MR. JARRETT: I think Pat wants to look through it. 5 MS. HALE: I'm still looking at the pretty pictures. 6 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Is that the [inaudible]. 7 MR. JARRETT: That was it. 8 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Is there anything else 9 before we, well, is there anything else? Then I would ask if there is anybody here that wants to entertain a motion to 10 11 extend the order. Hearing none, sounds like the order will 12 stand. 13 MR. PHILLIPS: What? Oh, actually, I'd like to make 14 a motion we grant a thirty-day extension of time for them to 15 conduct emergency repairs. And if someone seconds that and 16 it's voted on unanimously no, I think that tells a pretty 17 strong statement. 18 Well, it's not going to be voted CHAIR WEYMOUTH: 19 unanimously no if, you're, well, I guess I'll see where it 20 [inaudible] 21 MR. PHILLIPS: Well, I'd like, I move that we grant 22 a thirty-day extension to --23 MR. HOLLAND: For the sake of documentation, I will 24 second that motion.

MR. PHILLIPS: Okay.

25

1 MR. PHILLIPS: Okay. 2 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: All right. Any further discussion 3 to a thirty-day extension to the existing demolition order? Hearing none, we'll put it to a --5 MR. CROGNALE: I think the Board is getting gamed. 6 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Hearing none, we're going to 7 put it to a vote. All in favor say aye. 8 BOARD MEMBERS: [no response] 9 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: All in favor say no. 10 BOARD MEMBERS: No. 11 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Any abstentions? 12 MR. BARRANCO: For the record, for the record, any 13 opposed said no. 14 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. 15 MR. HOLLAND: And we heard none saying yea. 16 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Basically, there is no extension of 17 the demolition order. So that concludes that case. Is there 18 anything else from the City? 19 MS. GOLDWIRE: No, there is not, that I know of. 20 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. And the minutes have been 21 approved so --22 MS. HASAN: Mr. Chair, we have sort of separately 23 consulted doing a quick review, and it appears that the vote for Chair and Vice Chair should have occurred at the October 24 25 meeting.

1	MS. HASAN: That's just a preliminary opinion. Like
2	I said I was going to try to do my best to
3	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: So, is it suggested that we hold
4	that election now?
5	MS. HALE: Sure, why not?
6	MR. HOLLAND: Haven't had time to think about it.
7	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: How much time you need Thornie, I
8	mean Joe?
9	MS. HASAN: I mean, again, I would, I'm
10	going to defer to the Chair on that. I mean, it's not
11	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Let's go ahead and, let's, if we're
12	past due since October, let's go ahead and do it, so.
13	MR. BARRANCO: Could I suggest one other thing,
14	because we were also talking, and I think Joe had mentioned
15	it, was the term limits.
16	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.
17	MR. BARRANCO: And we talked about that before.
18	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I think she checked into that
19	right? Because I was wondering
20	MS. HASAN: No, that was one of the things I wanted
21	to check into. And that would require me to talk to the City
22	Clerk. I wouldn't have access to that information
23	MR. BARRANCO: Well, I'm just really curious about
24	that Mike because I have been extended several times beyond
25	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I don't know, I think that that may

go for a variety of us, because I'd venture to say that the two gentlemen to your left are the only new people since I've joined this panel. I actually don't, I'm sorry, but --

MR. HOLLAND: And --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: There's, if that's the case, they're going to be up here with only a handful of panelists and moving on. So I don't, I almost want to say that's a moot thing. I know I've been extended. I don't know what the term limit is.

MR. HOLLAND: It's been my honor and pleasure working with all of you and I thank you very much for your attention in these matters.

MR. BARRANCO: So, I think I'd be worth looking into just so that every case that we've tried in the last however many years doesn't suddenly come back.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Ian, you want to add to this?

MR. SEITEL: Yes, I just, it looks like the Section 9-24, Section 9-248 talks about, three members shall be appointed for a term of two years; three members for the term of three years. And, this is the initial Board --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I was going to say, that's at the initiation.

MR. SEITEL: -- and thereafter, all appointees shall be to a term of three years, provided however that a term in all instances shall continue until a successor is appointed

1 and qualified. 2 MR. BARRANCO: There you go. 3 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: So there is no term limit; it's just a three-year appointment. 4 5 MS. HALE: No. 6 MR. BARRANCO: No. 7 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: So, is there anything else that you 8 want to vet or clear before we do this? 9 MS. HASAN: Not for me, no. 10 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: All right. 11 MS. HASAN: Unless the Board wants me to look into 12 anything else, I'm -- I'd leave it to your discretion 13 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: So, with that said, why don't we go 14 ahead and have a Board election? 15 MR. HOLLAND: I'm not looking to obtain the Chair from the Vice Chairman position, but I do think I might be in 16 17 order I would like to nominate Thornie Jarrett for Chair and 18 do I have a second? 19 MR. PHILLIPS: What was that motion again, Joe? 20 MS. HALE: Thornie. Thornie, Thornie Jarrett for Chair. 21 MR. HOLLAND: 22 MR. BARRANCO: I'd second that. 23 How about you, Thornie, would you MR. PHILLIPS: 24 like to enjoy that position? 25 JARRETT: I've chaired other committees and MR.

```
1
   Boards so I don't have a problem with it. Okay.
 2
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: So, we have a motion and a second.
 3
   All in favor say aye.
 4
              BOARD MEMBERS:
                             Aye.
 5
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH:
                                Any opposed?
                                                Okay,
                                                       we
                                                          have
 6
    Chair. Can we have an appointment for Vice Chair?
7
             MR. HOLLAND:
                              I would like to nominate Michael
8
    Weymouth for Vice Chair.
                             Do I have a second?
 9
             MS. HALE:
                        I will.
10
             CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay, we have a motion --
11
             MR. CROGNALE: Who was that? I missed that one.
12
             MR. HOLLAND: Michael Weymouth, this gentleman right
13
   here.
14
             MR. CROGNALE: Oh, Michael.
15
             CHAIR WEYMOUTH: And before we vote on that, I would
16
    like to nominate John Barranco. I think --
17
             MR. LARSON: I'll second that.
18
                                So, now I'm not sure how we do
             CHAIR WEYMOUTH:
19
    this, if it's a rock, paper, scissors thing.
20
             MR. HOLLAND: Well, discussion, I quess.
21
             CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay, let's have discussion.
22
             MR. HOLLAND: I think attendance, ability to attend,
23
   and yours has been immaculate Mike, and that's going to serve
   well as Vice Chair. But you've got to, I personally, I miss a
24
25
   lot of meetings because of travel, and I'm not, wouldn't be
```

good in that capacity. Mr. Barranco, although the qualifications are beyond reproach, as we know there's a late, sometimes a lateness issue, I must disclose and there's also an, and there's some absentee issues that are of concern to me.

MR. BARRANCO: I feel like I'm back in grade school.

MR. HOLLAND: I know. Well, architects are good at some things but punctuality isn't one of them.

MR. BARRANCO: Well I --

MR. HOLLAND: That, I just want to point that out. It's the Board's pleasure. Just wanted to put that out there as a consideration. Mike is, been and done a tremendous job and I think it's important to have a Chair, Thornie's got good, he's always, always here virtually, and I think it's good that we have the Chair and Vice Chair very readily available to this and not miss many meetings or be late. But I think John could commit to better punctuality.

MR. BARRANCO: I will second that. Not that it was a motion, but I agree.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Are there any other nominations? I would imagine probably the thing to do is to do a roll call, yes? No? That's what I would probably suggest.

MR. HOLLAND: You should call the roll and vote.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: And I'll be the jump vote.

MR. BARRANCO: I'll make it easy. I do not accept

1 the nomination. 2 MR. HOLLAND: Aw, Come on John. 3 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I do not accept the nomination 4 either, so. 5 MR. HOLLAND: Come on. 6 MR. BARRANCO: So there you go. 7 MR. HOLLAND: We said all those nice things about 8 you for nothing. 9 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: It's the only reason we let you 10 carry on. MR. BARRANCO: You didn't say anything nice about 11 12 me, Joe. 13 MS. HALE: But he just wanted to hear them. 14 MR. HOLLAND: You only heard what you wanted to. 15 MS. HALE: Are you sure? 16 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: So we're back to square one. Let's find a nomination for Vice Chair. Somebody want to nominate 17 18 someone different. 19 MR. CROGNALE: Yes, I'd like to make a nomination 20 for the Vice Chair, the gentleman to the right of Ms. Hale. 21 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Give us a name please Joe. 22 MR. CROGNALE: Don Larson. 23 MR. LARSON: No. 24 MR. CROGNALE: That's also a no? 25 MR. LARSON: I don't care. I think [inaudible] did

an excellent job and I think the Board needs to give him a 1 vote of confidence and let's put him back in because he's done 3 a tremendous job. 4 MR. CROGNALE: He declined. 5 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Who? 6 MR. LARSON: You. 7 MS. HALE: You. 8 MR. HOLLAND: Oh. 9 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: As Vice Chair? 10 MR. LARSON: Yes. CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I believe in mixing the pot. 11 12 think that, I, first of all, loved chairing this Board, I 13 think it's a great Board, but I also believe that, you know, if there's going to be change, let's effect change and put 14 15 different people in there, so. 16 MR. HOLLAND: But on the other hand, the Vice Chair 17 has rarely had to step up because of your ability to be in the 18 Chair. 19 MR. LARSON: I don't care, as long as --20 MR. HOLLAND: And I think it's just good to, Mike it 21 would be great to have you backing Thornie up in the event he 22 had to, couldn't make a meeting. That would be very important 23 to all of us.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: What are the chances of you not being at a meeting that Thornie's not at?

24

25

1	MR. HOLLAND: Who me?
2	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Yes.
3	MR. HOLLAND: Not too many but, you know, if nobody
4	else is going to do it, I'd do it for the Board, but I think
5	I'd rather have you in as Vice Chair.
6	MR. CROGNALE: Take one for the team, Joe.
7	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: We've got five other members that
8	could be nominated for Vice Chair. Does somebody want to make
9	a different nomination?
10	MR. PHILLIPS: I think we should have gender
11	equality
12	MS. HALE: No.
13	MR. PHILLIPS: so I would like to nominate Pat.
14	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I second that. Talk about
15	attendance!
16	MR. PHILLIPS: Perfect.
17	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Perfect attendance.
18	MS. HALE: Oh good, I get a star.
19	MR. PHILLIPS: [inaudible]
20	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: She hasn't said "no", that's a good
21	sign
22	MR. BARRANCO: That is, she's like Hillary Clinton.
23	MS. HALE: No, I will say that next to Thornie I am
24	
	the longest serving member of the Board, but I also chaired

1	as Vice Chair, I just prefer not to. Thank you.
2	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Let's do this, is there
3	anybody here that would like to be Vice Chair, raise their
4	hand. Do we
5	MR. HOLLAND: [raised hand]
6	MR. BARRANCO: Thank you.
7	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: All right, so back to the, you're
8	going to be Vice Chair.
9	MR. BARRANCO: So , we don't have to vote on that
10	one.
11	MR. HOLLAND: If you vote on it.
12	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. So with that being said,
13	unless there's further discussion the election is for Thornie
14	to be the Chair and Joe Holland to be the Vice Chair. All in
15	favor say aye.
16	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
17	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Any opposed? Hearing none, our
18	Board is set. Do we need a treasurer, secretary?
19	MS. HALE: Attendance, maybe an attendance person?
20	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Huh?
21	MR. HOLLAND: Hey, I could do that.
22	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: All right, anything else?
23	
24	COMMUNICATION TO THE CITY COMMISSION INDEX
25	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Anybody want to communicate

anything to our fair City leaders?

MR. HOLLAND: I got some grave concerns about some of the engineering aspects that affect this Board here at the City. Gentleman, gentlemen, I need your attention. I'm very, I don't take this matter lightly, but I just have grave concerns with some of the issues that come up with technical abilities at this City involving our Board, Historic Preservation Boards, and how things are being controlled and withheld and downright quashed at times. I've seen it in this Board and I just urge my colleagues to perhaps offer a recommendation that the good Commission of the City of Fort Lauderdale consider an immediate search for a new City Manager and I would ask for a second.

MR. PHILLIPS: [Whistles]

MS. HALE: Ooh.

MR. LARSON: I'm not seconding that.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay? I don't hear a second Joe. Anything else?

MR. HOLLAND: Thanks for listening.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Any communication to the City Commissioners, the Mayor?

MR. LARSON: I make a motion we adjourn.

1	Other Items and Announcements	INDEX
2	None.	
3	·	
4	FOR THE GOOD OF THE CITY	INDEX
5	No comments.	
6		
7	[Meeting concluded at 4:30 p.m.]	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12	$\sim l_{2}$	
13/	Sher	
14	BOARD CLERK	
15	Thui Ount	
16	THORNIE JARRETT, CHAIR	
17		
18	[Minutes prepared by: J. Opperlee, Prototype, Inc.]	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2.4		
25		

25

## CERTIFICATION

recorded hereby certify that I have transcribed the City of Fort Lauderdale Unsafe Structures Board meeting held January 15, 2015, at 3:00 p.m., City Hall, 100 North Andrews Avenue,  $1^{\rm st}$  Floor Commission Chambers, Fort Lauderdale, Florida.

Dated at /Ft. Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida, this LEDUMM , 2015.

ROTOTYPE, INC

Recording Clerk

SWORN TO and SUBSCRIBED before me by JAMIE OPPERLEE who is personally known to me and who signed the foregoing for the purposes therein expressed.

DATED this 2011 day of FEBRUARY



State of Florida